

Finite rotation matrix

The generators can recover not only infinitesimal, $g(d\alpha) = 1 + iI d\alpha$, but (in principle) also finite elements of the group (with certain caveats). It is especially easy with *additive parameters*, where

$$g(\alpha + d\alpha) = g(\alpha)g(d\alpha). \quad (1)$$

Indeed, in this case

$$g(\alpha + d\alpha) = g(d\alpha)g(\alpha) = (1 + iI d\alpha)g(\alpha), \quad (2)$$

and thus the group element $g(\alpha)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{\partial g(\alpha)}{\partial \alpha} = iI g(\alpha), \quad (3)$$

with the initial condition $g(0) = 1$. One can check by direct substitution that the solution to this equation is exponential function, understood as an infinite Taylor series

$$g(\alpha) = e^{iI\alpha} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(iI\alpha)^n}{n!}. \quad (4)$$

For example, for the rotation around a given axis \vec{n} the rotation angle θ is an additive parameter of the rotation matrix $R(\vec{n}, \theta)$,

$$\begin{aligned} R(\vec{n}, \theta + d\theta) &= R(\vec{n}, \theta)R(\vec{n}, d\theta) \\ &= (1 + i\vec{I} \cdot \vec{n} d\theta)R(\vec{n}, \theta), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and thus the rotation matrix satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{dR}{d\theta} = i\vec{I} \cdot \vec{n} R, \quad (6)$$

with the boundary condition $R(\vec{n}, 0) = 1$. The solution is given by the Taylor series,

$$R(\vec{n}, \theta) = e^{i\vec{I} \cdot \vec{n} \theta} \equiv 1 + i\vec{I} \cdot \vec{n} \theta + \frac{(i\vec{I} \cdot \vec{n} \theta)^2}{2!} + \dots \quad (7)$$

In practice for a finite-dimension representation there is only a finite number of terms in the series.

Direct product of two representations

In the field theory we often have to work with different types of products of covariant quantities, like $\partial_a j^a$ or $\partial_a A^b$. We need to know how such products transform.

For simplicity we shall only consider matrices, although the concepts are also defined for abstract groups.

A *direct product* $g \otimes h$ of two matrices $\{g_{ij}\}$ and $\{h_{kl}\}$ is a suitable arranged matrix made of all possible pairwise products of matrix elements of

$\{g_{ij}h_{kl}\}$. If there are n^2 elements in a matrix g and m^2 elements in a matrix h , there will be $(nm)^2$ elements in a matrix $g \otimes h$.

If the matrices g and h act on column-vectors u and v of sizes n and m , then the matrices $g \otimes h$ act on a column-vector $u \otimes v$ of size nm consisting of all pairwise products of the elements of vectors u and v ,

$$u \otimes v = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 v_1 \\ \dots \\ u_n v_m \end{bmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

A *direct sum* $g \oplus h$ of two matrices g and h is a block-diagonal matrix made of matrices g and h ,

$$g \oplus h = \begin{bmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{bmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

The size of the direct sum is $n + m$.

The matrices $g \oplus h$ act on a column-vector $u \oplus v$ of size $n + m$ which is made of elements of vectors u and v ,

$$u \oplus v = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

Suppose we have two (different) representations, $G = \{g\}$ and $H = \{h\}$, of some Lie group $\{\Lambda\}$, with the corresponding infinitesimal elements¹

$$g = 1_G + i\vec{I}_G \vec{\alpha}, \quad (11)$$

$$h = 1_H + i\vec{I}_H \vec{\alpha}, \quad (12)$$

where $\vec{\alpha}$ are the parameters of the group $\{\Lambda\}$.

It is easy to show that the generators $I_{G \otimes H}$ of a direct product $G \otimes H$ of two representations G and H of the same group is a (Kronecker) sum of the corresponding generators I_G and I_H ,

$$\vec{I}_{G \otimes H} = \vec{I}_G \otimes 1_H + 1_G \otimes \vec{I}_H. \quad (13)$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} g \otimes h &= (1_G + i\vec{I}_G \vec{\alpha}) \otimes (1_H + i\vec{I}_H \vec{\alpha}) \\ &= 1_G \otimes 1_H + i(\vec{I}_G \otimes 1_H + 1_G \otimes \vec{I}_H) \vec{\alpha} \\ &= 1 + i\vec{I}_{G \otimes H} \vec{\alpha}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{I}_{G \otimes H} = \vec{I}_G \otimes 1_H + 1_G \otimes \vec{I}_H. \quad (15)$$

Clebsch-Gordan theorem

The direct product $(j_1) \otimes (j_2)$ of two irreducible representations of the rotation group is a reducible representation which can be reduced into a direct sum of irreducible representations,

$$(j_1) \otimes (j_2) = \sum_{j=|j_1-j_2|}^{j_1+j_2} \oplus (j). \quad (16)$$

¹ $\vec{I}\vec{\alpha} \equiv \sum_k I_k \alpha_k$

Example: a direct product $\vec{a} \otimes \vec{b}$ of two vectors, $(1) \otimes (1)$, reduces to a direct sum of a scalar ($j = 0$), an antisymmetric tensor ($j = 1$), and a symmetric tensor with zero trace ($j = 2$),

$$\vec{a} \otimes \vec{b} = (\vec{a}\vec{b}) \oplus (\vec{a} \times \vec{b}) \oplus \left(a_i b_j + a_j b_i - \frac{2}{3} (\vec{a}\vec{b}) \delta_{ij} \right). \quad (17)$$

Irreducible representations of the Lorentz group

With the complex parameterization

$$d\vec{w} = \vec{n}d\theta + i d\vec{v} \quad (18)$$

the infinitesimal Lorentz transformation is given as

$$\Lambda = 1 + i\vec{M}d\vec{w} + i\vec{N}d\vec{w}^*, \quad (19)$$

where the generators M and N satisfy the Lie algebra

$$M_k M_l - M_l M_k = i \sum_m \epsilon_{klm} M_m \quad (20)$$

$$N_k N_l - N_l N_k = i \sum_m \epsilon_{klm} N_m \quad (21)$$

$$M_k N_l - N_l M_k = 0, \quad (22)$$

that is, two independent rotation Lie algebras. An infinitesimal matrix t from a representation of the Lorentz group is then given as

$$t = \mathbf{1}_M \otimes \mathbf{1}_N + i\vec{M} \otimes \mathbf{1}_N d\vec{w} + i\mathbf{1}_M \otimes \vec{N} d\vec{w}^*, \quad (23)$$

where $\mathbf{1}_M$ and $\mathbf{1}_N$ are the unit matrices in the spaces of M and N generators.

Thus an irreducible representation of the Lorentz group is determined by two numbers (j_M, j_N) , each taking non-negative integer or half-integer values. The dimensions of the M and N -generators are then $(2j_M + 1)$ and $(2j_N + 1)$ correspondingly. The dimension of the representation is $(2j_M + 1)(2j_N + 1)$.

Direct product of two irreducible representations There exists a similar theorem for the Lorentz group,

$$(j_1, k_1) \otimes (j_2, k_2) = \sum_{j=|j_1-j_2|}^{j_1+j_2} \sum_{k=|k_1-k_2|}^{k_1+k_2} \oplus (j, k). \quad (24)$$

Rotational properties of an irreducible representation of the Lorentz group If we only consider rotations, $d\vec{v} = 0$, the infinitesimal element of a Lorentz group representation (23) becomes

$$g|_{d\vec{v}=0} = 1 + i \left(\vec{M} \otimes \mathbf{1}_N + \mathbf{1}_M \otimes \vec{N} \right) \vec{n}d\theta, \quad (25)$$

which can be identified as the Kronecker sum (20) of two generators with rotation Lie algebra. A Kronecker sum of generators corresponds to a direct product of their representations.

Thus, under rotations, an irreducible representations (j_1, j_2) of the Lorentz group reduces to a direct sum of irreducible representations of the rotation group (j) with $j = |j_1 - j_2|, \dots, j_1 + j_2$.

Example: a four-vector $\{E, \mathbf{p}\}$ transforms under $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ representation of the Lorentz group and under rotations reduces to a direct sum of a scalar E and a vector \mathbf{p} .

Parity transformation and irreducible representations of the Lorentz group Parity transformation is the simultaneous change of spatial coordinates,

$$P \begin{pmatrix} t \\ \vec{x} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t \\ -\vec{x} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (26)$$

The parity transformation, like rotations, reflects our freedom in choosing frames of reference for a description of physical systems and therefore must be included in the group of coordinate transformations in the principle of covariance.

Under the parity transformation the rotation generators do not change, $\vec{J} \rightarrow \vec{J}$, while the velocity-boost-generators change sign, $\vec{K} \rightarrow -\vec{K}$. The "optimal" generators $\vec{M} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{J} - i\vec{K})$ and $\vec{N} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{J} + i\vec{K})$ transform into each other, $\vec{M} \leftrightarrow \vec{N}$.

Thus an irreducible representation of the Lorentz group (j_1, j_2) transforms under parity transformation into a representation (j_2, j_1) ,

$$(j_1, j_2) \xleftarrow{P} (j_2, j_1). \quad (27)$$

Consequently, if $j_1 \neq j_2$, the representation of the covariance group of coordinate transformations has to be enlarged to the direct sum $(j_1, j_2) \oplus (j_2, j_1)$.